**Contrasting Perspectives of LWCF—**

**Early 1960s and Today**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Considerations</th>
<th>Early 1960s</th>
<th>Today</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Outdoor recreation demand</td>
<td>• OR demand exceeds supply</td>
<td>• OR demand exceeds supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Exploding # of participants</td>
<td>• Exploding diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Outdoor recreation benefits</td>
<td>Recognized; speculative &amp; conjectured</td>
<td>Recognized; scientifically supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Relationship of outdoor recreation and conservation</td>
<td>Synergistic, unified, and powerful</td>
<td>Fractured, antagonistic, polarizing, demonizing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Legislative interpretation</td>
<td>“Outdoor recreation”</td>
<td>“Other purposes”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. LWCF fund allocation percentages</td>
<td>40% federal; 60% stateside</td>
<td>88% federal; 12% stateside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Geographic focus of LWCF funding</td>
<td>Where the people are; urban</td>
<td>Where the special natural resources are; rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Role of States in LWCF</td>
<td>“Pivotal” in linking federal and local interests and needs</td>
<td>No role in linking federal and local interests and needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Federal and state coordination and collaboration</td>
<td>Bureau of Outdoor Recreation (DOI) • 245 professionals in 1964</td>
<td>• No organized coordination across 4 federal agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Federal agency coordination</td>
<td>• No coordination between federal and state agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Nationwide OR plan</td>
<td>• Support provided states by NPS Local and State Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Research and data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• National OR Advisory Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• National OR land classification system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Interagency statewide comprehensive OR planning (SCORP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Process for LWCF decision making</td>
<td>• Public interagency comprehensive outdoor recreation planning process (SCORP)</td>
<td>• Internal agency process + congressional preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Public-centric</td>
<td>• Politico-centric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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